

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
MARSHALL DIVISION**

---

HOWLINK GLOBAL LLC,	§	
Plaintiff,	§	
v.	§	CASE NO. 2:22-cv-00040-JRG-RSP
AT&T, INC., et al.	§	(Lead Case)
Defendants,	§	
NOKIA CORPORATION OF AMERICA,	§	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
Intervenor,	§	
ERICSSON, INC.	§	
Intervenor.	§	
HOWLINK GLOBAL LLC,	§	
Plaintiff,	§	
v.	§	
VERIZON COMMUNICATIONS, INC., et al.,	§	CASE NO. 2:22-cv-00042-JRG-RSP
Defendants,	§	(Member Case)
NOKIA CORPORATION OF AMERICA,	§	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
Intervenor,	§	
ERICSSON, INC.	§	
Intervenor.	§	

---

**MOTION FOR ISSUANCE OF LETTER OF REQUEST  
FOR INTERNATIONAL JUDICIAL ASSISTANCE**

Defendants AT&T, Corp., et al (“AT&T”) and Defendants Cellco partnership d/b/a Verizon Wireless, et al, (“Verizon”) (AT&T and Verizon collectively, “Defendants”) and

Intervenors Ericsson, Inc. (“Ericsson”) and Nokia of America Corporation (“Nokia”) (Nokia and Ericsson collectively, “Intervenors”) respectfully request that the Court issue the letter of request attached hereto as Exhibit 1 (“Letter of Request”). The Letter of Request solicits assistance from the authorities of the Republic of Korea (“South Korea”) to obtain documents and deposition testimony from KT Corporation, the original assignee of Patent Application No. 13/453,036 that lead to U.S. Patent No. 9,596,576 (“the ’576 Patent”), the filer of the reissue application that led to U.S. Patent No. RE46,415 (“the ’415 Patent”), and an assignee of an interest in U.S. Patent No. 8,630,279 (“the ’279 Patent”). The requested documents and deposition testimony may be material to Defendants’ and Intervenors’ defenses.

## I. FACTUAL BACKGROUND

Howlink Global LLC (“Howlink”) exists as a patent assertion entity monetizing patents that it acquired from foreign companies. To that end, Howlink filed suit against Defendants alleging infringement of three patents<sup>1</sup> two of which, the ’576 Patent, and the ’415 Patent, originated with KT Corporation. KT Corporation was also at one point an assignee of an interest in the third asserted patent, the ’279 Patent. With respect to these three patents, Howlink contends that it does not have any P.R. 3-2(a) or (b) documents in its possession, custody, or control. Howlink represents Kyeong-Soo Lee and Hak-Seong Yu, two of the four named inventors of the 415 Patent, and has accepted service of subpoenas on those two individuals. However, as over a decade has passed since those inventors assigned their interests in the ’415 Patent, it is possible that KT Corporation, not the inventors, is the best avenue to obtain critical discovery regarding licensing, invention, and other critical issues in this case. Additionally, to date, Howlink has not

---

<sup>1</sup> U.S. Patent Nos. 8,630,279 (“the ’279 patent”); RE46,415 (“the ’415 patent”); 9,596,576 (“the ’576 patent”).

confirmed that it can accept service of subpoena on behalf of the other inventors of the '415 Patent, nor any inventors of the '576 Patent. Howlink has also stated that it cannot accept service of a subpoena for the inventors of the '279 Patent, suggesting instead that the Defendants and Intervenors seek Hague service for those individuals. While Defendants and Intervenors are considering pursuing such discovery, as over a decade has passed since those inventors assigned their interests in the '279 Patent, it is again possible that KT Corporation, not the inventors, is the best avenue to obtain discovery.

Consequently, Defendants and Intervenors respectfully request that the Court issue the attached Letter of Request to the judicial authorities of South Korea. *See Exhibit 1.*<sup>2</sup> During the parties' meet and confer, on September 16, prior to filing this motion, Howlink indicated that it does not oppose the relief sought herein.

## **II. ARGUMENT**

The Hague Convention "procedures are available whenever they will facilitate the gathering of evidence by the means authorized in the Convention." *Société Nationale Industrielle Aéropostiale v. U.S. Dist. Ct. for the S. Dist. of Iowa*, 482 U.S. 522, 541 (1987). Because South Korea is a party to the Hague Convention, the attached Letter of Request is an appropriate means for seeking discovery from KT Corporation.<sup>3</sup>

As reflected in the attached Letter of Request, Defendants and Intervenors seek, among other things, licenses covering the asserted patents, documents evidencing the first public disclosure

---

<sup>2</sup> Should the Court grant Defendants' and Intervenors' Motion for Issuance of Letter of Request, Defendants and Intervenors will obtain a certified Korean translation of Exhibit 1.

<sup>3</sup> See <https://travel.state.gov/content/travel/en/legal/Judicial-Assistance-Country-Information/KoreaRepublicof.html> (last accessed October 13, 2022); see also 28 USC § 1781(b)(2) (permitting the "transmittal of a letter rogatory or request directly from a tribunal in the United States to the foreign or international tribunal, officer, or agency to whom it is addressed and its return in the same manner").

or sale of the alleged inventions, conception documents, and communications between the named inventors and standard setting organizations regarding subject matter disclosed in the asserted patents. *See Exhibit 1.* The bulk of the requested evidence should have been produced with Howlink's infringement contentions, *see P.R. 3-2,* but Howlink maintains that it does not possess this routine discovery. Accordingly, Defendants and Intervenors request that the Court issue the attached Letter of Request to the judicial authorities of South Korea to obtain documents and testimony from KT Corporation that may be vital to Defendants' and Intervenors' defenses in this case.

If the Court grants this Motion, Defendants and Intervenors respectfully request that the Court execute two copies of the Letter of Request with an original signature, to which the Clerk of the Court shall affix an original seal. Defendants and Intervenors also respectfully request that the Clerk of the Court send the executed Letter of Request to the following Central Authority of the Republic of Korea:

**National Court Administration**

Attn: Director of International Affairs  
Seocho-daero 219  
Seocho-gu  
SEOUL 06590  
Republic of Korea  
Phone: +82 (2) 3480 1734  
Email: international@scourt.go.kr

**III. CONCLUSION**

Defendants and Intervenors respectfully request that the Court issue the attached Letter of Request to the judicial authorities of South Korea to obtain relevant documents and other information from KT Corporation.

Dated: October 13, 2022

*/s/ Ross R. Barton*

---

Ross R. Barton (NC Bar No. 371790)

**ALSTON & BIRD LLP**

101 South Tryon Street, Suite 4000

Charlotte, NC 28280

Telephone: 704-444-1000

Facsimile: 704-444-1111

Email: ross.barton@alston.com

Theodore Stevenson, III (TX Bar No. 19196650)

**ALSTON & BIRD LLP**

Chase Tower

2200 Ross Avenue, Suite 2300

Dallas, TX 75201

Telephone: 214-922-3400

Facsimile: 214-922-3899

Email: ted.stevenson@alston.com

John Haynes (GA Bar No. 340599)

David S. Frist (GA Bar No. 205611)

Emily C. Welch (GA Bar No. 606071)

**ALSTON & BIRD LLP**

1201 West Peachtree Street, Suite 4900

Atlanta, GA 30309

Telephone: 404-881-7000

Facsimile: 404-881-7777

Email: john.haynes@alston.com

Email: david.frist@alston.com

Email: emily.welch@alston.com

Thomas W. Davison (District of Columbia

Bar No. 987143)

**ALSTON & BIRD LLP**

950 F Street, NW

Washington, DC 20004

Telephone: 202-239-3300

Facsimile: 202-239-3333

Email: tom.davison@alston.com

*Attorneys for Defendants and Intervenors*

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

The undersigned hereby certifies that this document was filed electronically in compliance with Local Rule CV-5(a) October 13, 2022.

*/s/ Ross R. Barton*

---

Ross R. Barton (NC Bar No. 371790)  
**ALSTON & BIRD LLP**  
101 South Tryon Street, Suite 4000  
Charlotte, NC 28280  
Telephone: 704-444-1000  
Facsimile: 704-444-1111  
Email: ross.barton@alston.com

**CERTIFICATE OF CONFERENCE**

The undersigned hereby certifies that pursuant to Local Rules CV-7(h) and (i), counsel for Defendants and Intervenors conferred with counsel for Plaintiff regarding this Motion and all counsel indicated that they do not oppose the relief sought herein.

*/s/ Ross R. Barton*

---

Ross R. Barton (NC Bar No. 371790)  
**ALSTON & BIRD LLP**  
101 South Tryon Street, Suite 4000  
Charlotte, NC 28280  
Telephone: 704-444-1000  
Facsimile: 704-444-1111  
Email: ross.barton@alston.com